# Accepted Manuscript

Identification and functional characterization of a *MAX2* ortholog from switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.)

Tingting Cheng, Donghua Wang, Yongfeng Wang, Shumeng Zhang, Chao Zhang, Shudong Liu, Yajun Xi, Fengli Sun

PII: S0981-9428(18)30216-X

DOI: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.05.016

Reference: PLAPHY 5263

To appear in: Plant Physiology and Biochemistry

Received Date: 29 January 2018

Revised Date: 9 May 2018

Accepted Date: 9 May 2018

Please cite this article as: T. Cheng, D. Wang, Y. Wang, S. Zhang, C. Zhang, S. Liu, Y. Xi, F. Sun, Identification and functional characterization of a *MAX2* ortholog from switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.), *Plant Physiology et Biochemistry* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.05.016.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## 1 Identification and functional characterization of a MAX2 ortholog

#### 2 from switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.)

3 Tingting Cheng<sup>1, 2</sup>, Donghua Wang<sup>1, 2</sup>, Yongfeng Wang<sup>1, 2</sup>, Shumeng Zhang<sup>1, 2</sup>, Chao Zhang<sup>1, 2</sup>, Shudong

4 Liu<sup>1, 2</sup>, Yajun Xi<sup>1, 2</sup>, Fengli Sun<sup>1, 2\*</sup>

5 1 College of Agronomy, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China;

6 2 State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China;

- 7 \*Corresponding authors: <u>sunof1981@126.com</u>
- 8

#### 9 Abstract

10 Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.) is a sustainable cellulosic energy crop with high 11 biomass yield on marginal soils. Tillering, an important agronomic characteristic related 12 to biomass production in gramineous plants, is regulated by complex interacting factors, 13 such as plant hormones. Strigolactones (SLs) comprise a novel class of plant hormones 14 that inhibit shoot branching. The MORE AXILLARY GROWTH2 (MAX2)/DWARF 3 15 (D3)/RAMOSUS (RMS4) genes encode proteins involved in the SL signaling pathway in 16 various plants. The switchgrass tetraploid genome likely contains two high-similarity 17 MAX2 homologues, one of which is 6 bp longer than the other. The longest is named 18 *PvMAX2* and is the ortholog of *MAX2* in Arabidopsis, *D3* in rice, and *RMS4* in petunia. 19 *PvMAX2* is expressed ubiquitously in switchgrass tissues, with higher expression levels 20 observed in the stem and shoot. PvMAX2 gene expression is upregulated by GR24, a 21 synthetic SL analog. Ectopic expression of *PvMAX2* in the Arabidopsis max2 mutant 22 rescued the dwarf and bushy phenotypes and small leaf size in the mutant, suggesting 23 that functions of AtMAX2 in Arabidopsis are conserved in PvMAX2. Ectopic PvMAX2 24 expression also restored the wild-type primary root and hypocotyl length phenotypes 25 and restored the response to GR24. These results indicate that *PvMAX2* may play an 26 important role in switchgrass tillering through the SL pathway. 27 Key words: Switchgrass, *PvMAX2*, Strigolactones, root, tillering

Abbreviations: SL, strigolactone; WT, wild type; MS, Murashige and Skoog; IAA,
indole-3-acetic acid

30

#### 31 1. Introduction

32 Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.), a native grass of North American prairies, 33 is a perennial C4 plant that belongs to the genus *Panicum* L. (Poaceae) [1]. It is 34 commonly used for soil and water conservation owing to its suitability to marginal land 35 and strong adaptability. It has also been studied extensively as a model energy crop 36 [2-5]. Tillering, a special form of branching in gramineous plants, is a primary 37 agronomic characteristic responsible for biomass production [6]. Tillering is influenced 38 not only by most environmental factors, such as temperature, soil nutrition, and 39 cultivation measures, but also by biological factors, including hormones, and genetic 40 factors [7-9].

Strigolactones (SLs), which are novel plant hormones, are terpenoid compounds 41 42 that play an important role in suppressing shoot branching [10-13]. Recently, a series of branching mutants MORE AXILLARY GROWTH (MAX) in Arabidopsis thaliana, 43 44 DWARF (D) in Oryza sativa, DECREASED APICAL DOMINANCE (DAD) in Petunia 45 hybrida, and RAMOSUS (RMS) in Pisum sativum were identified in which SLs act as 46 endogenous signals [12, 14]. Many genes are involved in the biosynthesis of SLs, 47 including MAX3/RMS5/D17/DAD3, MAX4/RMS1/DAD1/D10, and OsMAX1/MAX1. 48 Some genes are components of the SL signaling pathway, such as AtD27/D27. 49 MAX2/D3/RMS4, D14/D88/HTD2, D53/SMAX1-LIKE, and BRC1/BRC2/FC1/OsTB1 50 [15-20]. MAX2/RMS4/D3 genes are key factors that play vital roles in the SL signaling 51 pathway [21, 22]. MAX2, in particular, functions at the intersection of multiple signaling 52 pathways [23]. MAX2 encodes an F-box leucine-rich repeat protein and constitutes part 53 of a Skp-Cullin-F-box (SCF) E3 ligase complex, which functions downstream of MAX3, 54 MAX4, and MAX1 [24-26]. MAX2 is involved in a series of functions that regulate plant 55 developmental processes, senescence, karrikin signalin g, photomorphogenesis,

56 temperature signaling, SL signaling, drought response, and shoot architecture [10, 12, 57 21, 27-29]. In addition, MAX2 functions at the signal intersection associated with SLs 58 and other hormones, such as auxin, cytokinins, gibberellin, and jasmonates [21, 30-32]. 59 SL-deficient max (A. thaliana), rms (P. sativum), d (O. sativa), and dad (P. hybrida) 60 mutants have been studied widely owing to their enhanced shoot branching phenotype. 61 The *max2* mutant has a relatively high tillering and dwarfing phenotype, and is 62 insensitive to GR24, a synthetic SL analog [25, 33, 34]. It has been suggested that SLs 63 inhibit auxin biosynthesis, which controls shoot branching and regulates plant root 64 development [30, 35-37]. 65 Tillering is critical for switchgrass productivity. In this study, we cloned the 66 *PvMAX2* gene (the homolog of *AtMAX2*) from Alamo switchgrass and analyzed its 67 biological characteristics. The Arabidopsis max2 mutant has been transformed with 68 *PvMAX2* to analyze the function of the gene. Overexpression of *PvMAX2* in the 69 Arabidopsis max2 mutant successfully complemented many phenotypes of the mutant, 70 such as primary inflorescence length, branch number, and number of rosette leaves. In 71 addition, we examined the response of wild type (WT), max2, and transgenic lines to 72 GR24 and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA). Our results indicate that the overexpression of 73 *PvMAX2* could almost completely complement the phenotype of the *max2* mutant, 74 suggesting identification of the ortholog of MAX2 in switchgrass.

75 **2. Materials and methods** 

#### 76 2.1 Plant materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis ecotype Col-0 was used as the WT in this study. The *max2-1* mutant [24] was obtained from the Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology (Beijing, China). Arabidopsis plants were grown in a chamber at 25°C with a 14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod and 70% humidity [38]. Before sowing on Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates, seeds were surface-sterilized with 70% (v/v) ethanol for 1 min and with 10% (w/v) sodium hypochlorite for 10 min, followed by three washes with sterile distilled

83	water. After stratification at 4°C for 3 days, the seeds were sown on half-strength MS
84	medium containing 0.5% (w/v) sucrose and 0.75% (w/v) agar at pH 5.8 [39].
85	The Alamo switchgrass cultivar (autotetraploid, $2n = 4x = 36$ ) was used for gene
86	cloning and expression analysis. Switchgrass plants were propagated asexually by
87	tiller-splitting and grown in the greenhouse at Northwest A & F University (Yangling,
88	China) with a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod within a temperature range of 23–28°C.
89	2.2 <i>PvMAX2</i> gene cloning and vector construction
90	Based on the homologous sequence of MAX2/D3/RMS4 in the switchgrass
91	(Alamo) database
92	(https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!info?alias=Org_Pvirgatum_er), we
93	designed switchgrass MAX2 primers (MAX2-F and MAX-R in Table S1) to amplify the
94	open reading frame (ORF) of the PvMAX2 gene using Primer Premier 5.0 software.
95	Using the Prime Script II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaKaRa, Kusatsu, Japan), the
96	template cDNA was synthesized from total RNA extracted from the shoots of the
97	switchgrass lowland ecotype Alamo using the HiPure Plant RNA Mini Kit (Magen,
98	Guangzhou, China) [40]. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product obtained by the
99	KOD -Plus- high fidelity DNA polymerase (Toyobo, Ohtsu, Japan) was then cloned
100	into the pGEM-T easy vector to be sequenced by Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.
101	(Shanghai, China).
102	The ORF of <i>PvMAX2</i> with <i>Bam</i> H1 and <i>Spe</i> 1 restriction enzyme sites at the5'
103	and 3' ends, respectively, obtained using primers MAX2-BamH1 and MAX2-Spe1
104	(Table S1), was inserted into pTCK303 [41] to generate the construct for
105	overexpression of PvMAX2, named PvMAX2OE.
106	2.3 Sequence and phylogenetic analysis
107	The ORF of the <i>PvMAX2</i> gene sequence was predicted using DNAMAN version
108	6.0 software, the physical and chemical properties of the encoded amino acid sequence
109	were analyzed using ProtParam software. The F-box motif was identified by aligning

110 the PvMAX2 sequence with those of other F-box proteins. A phylogenetic tree of

- 111 homologous proteins from dicot and monocot plants was constructed using MEGA 7
- software by the neighbor-joining method [42, 43].
- 113 **2.4 Arabidopsis transformation and max2 mutant complementation**

114 The *PvMAX2OE* construct was introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* 

115 (EHA105) to transform the Arabidopsis mutant *max2* using the floral-dip method [44].

116 Candidate transgenic plants were screened on half-strength MS medium containing 40

117 mg/L hygromycin B. The transgenic seedlings were identified using PCR with the Hyg

118 primers (Table S1). We randomly selected at least 10 individual plants per homozygous

line for phenotype observation. Three independent homozygous transgenic lines wereused for further analysis.

- Leaf area was measured using Image J software (NIH, USA) after seedlings had
  grown for 14 days following germination in soil. Rosette leaves were counted at the
  22-day-old stage. We determined the number of branches and primary inflorescence
- 124 length at the 50-day-old stage.

#### 125 **2.5 RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)**

126 Total RNA was extracted from transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings and switchgrass 127 plant tissues (root, leaf, leaf sheath, shoot base, shoot node, and panicle) using the 128 HiPure Plant RNA Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA 129 sample was dissolved in 30 µL of diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water. Then, 1 130 µg of total RNA of each sample was reverse transcribed using the Prime Script RT 131 reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Perfect Real Time; TaKaRa). 132 We performed qRT-PCR to determine gene expression. PvActin and 133 PvEF-1-alpha were used as internal controls for switchgrass and AtACTIN7 was used as 134 an internal control for Arabidopsis. PvMAX2 gene-specific primers (PvMAX2Q-F and

- 135 *PvMAX2Q-R*) used in qRT-PCR are listed in Table S1. We performed qRT-PCR using
- the ABI 700 Real Time System (Applied Biosystems, USA) with SYBR Premix EX
- 137 Taq II (Tli RNaseH plus) (TaKaRa). The reaction conditions were pre-incubation at
- 138 95°C for 5 s, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 31 s, and 72°C for 30 s.

139 The relative expression level in each sample was calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method 140 [45].

#### 141 **2.6 GR24 and IAA treatment assay**

142 Arabidopsis seeds were surface-sterilized, cooled at 4°C in the dark for three 143 days, and then grown on half-strength MS plates. When the root length was  $\sim 1$  cm. 144 seedlings were gently transferred to half-strength MS plates containing various 145 concentrations of GR24 or IAA. After seven days of vertical growth in an incubator, the 146 primary root length was measured using Image J software. GR24 treatments were conducted at a concentration of  $10^{-6}$  M, and 21 seedlings were measured for each 147 genotype [46]. The IAA concentration was  $10^{-7}$  M; 21 seedlings were measured from 148 149 each line. Non-treated roots were used as the control in each experiment [47].

Switchgrass seeds were surface-sterilized and placed on wet filter paper. When the roots were 2 cm long, the seedlings were transferred to Hoagland nutrient solution renewed at 3-day intervals. Plants were grown in a 28°C incubator under 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod conditions. After 20 days, samples were transferred separately to new cultures containing  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-6}$  and  $10^{-7}$  M GR24 for 24 h before seedling collection for RNA extraction.

156 **2.7 Hypocotyl elongation assay** 

To determine the hypocotyl length of each line, seeds were surface-sterilized, cooled at 4°C in the dark for 3 days and then plated on half-strength MS medium with various concentrations of GR24 or IAA. The seeds were exposed to white light for 3 h to encourage seedling photomorphogenesis at room temperature and then placed in a dark climate chamber at 25°C. After incubation in the dark for 5 days, the hypocotyl lengths of 20 individual seedlings from each line were then measured.

- 163 2.8 Statistical analyses
- Most data were recorded from at least three independent experiments. Data are
   presented as means ± standard error of the mean [48]. Means were compared by

166	analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Duncan's multiple range test. Different
167	letters indicate significant differences between treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ).
168	3. Results
169	3.1 Cloning and sequence analysis of the <i>PvMAX2</i> gene
170	To acquire the full length PvMAX2 gene, we used protein sequences of AtMAX2
171	[24] and rice D3 [33] as queries to BLAST search the switchgrass (Alamo) database.
172	According to the database, 18 scaffolds named 01-09 (K or N) are ordered to match the
173	syntenic foxtail millet (Setaria italica) chromosome order. The results of the BLAST
174	search with AtMAX2 indicated three orthologs in the switchgrass genome:
175	Pavir.4KG041800 (Chr04K:4096937.4099581) and Pavir.4KG045300
176	(Chr04K:4345003.4348920) on Chr. 4K and Pavir.4NG045300
177	(Chr04N:4630531.4632529) on Chr. 4N. The sequence of Pavir.4KG041800 is the
178	same as that of Pavir.4KG045300. The sequence of Pavir.4NG045300, which is the
179	same as the latter part of MAX2, may be an incomplete MAX2 sequence lacking the first
180	sequence region, because the first part of MAX2 was found within sequences not
181	localized on chromosomes in the database (Fig. S1). There was a 37-bp gap between
182	Pavir.4NG045300 and the unlocalized part compared with
183	Pavir.4KG045300/Pavir.4KG041800. One PCR product of the switchgrass cDNA from
184	primers designed according to the preceding sequences possessed 6 bp less than
185	Pavir.4NG045300/Pavir.4KG041800. The product matched perfectly with
186	Pavir.4NG045300 and the previous unlocalized sequence. Therefore, we conclude that
187	the switchgrass tetraploid genome likely contains two high-similarity MAX2
188	homologues, one of which is 6 bp longer than the other. We named the longest
189	homologue PvMAX2 (GenBank accession number: MH172301); it contained 2,112 bp
190	with no introns and encoded a 703-amino acid protein.
191	Sequence analysis indicated that PvMAX2 contains an F-BOX motif at the
192	N-terminus (Fig. S2). Alignment with the MAX2 protein sequences from other species
193	showed that PvMAX2 had 92.60%, 87.29%, 76.67%, 41.39%, and 43.43% identities to

194 SiMAX2, SbMAX2, D3, RMS4, and AtMAX2, respectively (Fig. 1A). Phylogenetic

analysis of MAX2 homologs among switchgrass, Arabidopsis, pea, rice, millet, and

sorghum suggested that PvMAX2 is most closely related to millet SiMAX2, but

197 relatively less related to dicot Arabidopsis AtMAX2 and pea RMS4 (Fig. 1B).

- 198 **3.2** *PvMAX2* expression pattern in switchgrass
- 199 According to the expression data in the Joint Genome Institute database,

200 *PvMAX2* is expressed in all tissues, with higher levels detected in the stem nodes and

201 roots (Fig. 2A). The homologs (Pavir.4KG045300/Pavir.4KG041800, and

202 Pavir.4NG045300) exhibited similar expression profile patterns. Expression levels of

203 MAX2 were greatest in the roots in sorghum, and in the axillary buds and roots in

204 petunia [49, 50]. We detected *PvMAX2* expression by qRT-PCR in various tissues of

switchgrass including the stem base, leaf sheath, leaf, stem node, P1 panicle (early), and

206 P2 panicle (late). The highest levels were detected in the stem nodes, followed by the

stem base, root, leaf, and leaf sheath. The lowest expression levels were found in the P1

208 panicle (early) and P2 panicle (late) (Fig. 2B).

#### 209 **3.3** *PvMAX2* expression in response to GR24 treatment

As a key factor in the SL signal transduction pathway, the expression level of *MAX2* is upregulated by GR24 [28, 51, 52]. In this study, the expression level of *PvMAX2* was markedly increased in GR24-treated samples compared with the untreated controls (Fig. 3).

214 **3.4 Ectopic expression of** *PvMAX2* in the Arabidopsis *max2* mutant

To confirm the functional orthology of *PvMAX2*, the *PvMAX2OE* construct was introduced into the Arabidopsis *max2* mutant; 12 transgenic lines were successfully obtained (Fig. S3B) and three lines were selected for subsequent trials. All three transgenic lines had significantly higher expression levels of *PvMAX2* (Fig. 4B). The shoots in the *max2* plants were shorter and had more lateral inflorescences than WT plants [24, 25]. The transgenic *PvMAX2OE* plants displayed high branch lengths and

reduced tiller numbers. The transgenic plants L2, L3, and L4 partly rescued the bushy

	$\mathbf{D}$	TED		NIT		ID T
	ΗР		$\Lambda/I \Delta$		ĸ	
1100			TATT 7		∠ <b>1</b> ∖.	

222 phenotype and plant height to levels similar to those of WT plants. These results

223 confirmed that the function of *PvMAX2* in branching regulation was similar to that of

224 *AtMAX2* (Fig. 4A).

# 3.5 Complementation of the Arabidopsis *max2* mutant by *PvMAX2* at branching and leaf size

227 Compared with the *max2* mutant, the WT and three transgenic lines had visibly 228 higher primary inflorescence lengths. The heights of the transgenic lines were 1.3 to 1.4 229 times that of the *max2* mutant but were shorter than the WT (Fig. 4C) which was 1.7 230 times that of the max2 mutant. This result suggests that PvMAX2 expression was not 231 fully effective in conferring increased height in the Arabidopsis max2 mutant. The WT 232 and mutant plants had an average of 5.2 and 15.9 branches, respectively. The numbers 233 of branches in the three *PvMAX2OE* transgenic lines in the *max2* mutant background 234 were decreased markedly to the level of the WT (Fig. 4E). In addition, the numbers of 235 rosette leaves in the transgenic lines were similar to that of the WT but reduced 236 compared with the max2 mutant (Fig. 4D). The max2 mutant had smaller leaf blades at 237 the early seedling stage than observed in WT [53]. Generally, max2 mutant plants had 238 smaller leaf blades than WT, and the leaves of the transgenic lines were restored to 239 normal blade size in WT (Fig. 5). These results indicate that PvMAX2 almost 240 completely rescued the defect of the max2 mutant during plant development and 241 growth.

#### 242 **3.6 Effects of GR24 and IAA on primary root length**

Previous studies demonstrated that SLs were involved in root phenotypes in a MAX2-dependent manner [47]. GR24 inhibited root development at relatively high concentrations and promoted primary root length at low concentrations [39, 54]. To determine whether *PvMAX2* could complement the root growth phenotype of the *max2* mutant, we measured the primary root lengths of all lines. The *max2* mutant had shorter primary root lengths than the WT and *PvMAX2OE* transgenic plants. In addition, the primary root of *max2* showed no response to GR24 treatment, while the response to GR24 was restored in the transgenic plants to levels similar to WT (Fig. 6A). IAA could
reduce the length of WT primary roots within a certain concentration range, and auxin
interacted with SLs in the regulation of primary root development [54-56]. We found
that the response to IAA treatment was similar among the mutant, WT, and *PvMAX2OE*transgenic lines; IAA inhibited root growth regardless of the normal function of MAX2
(Fig. 6B).

## 256 3.7 Effects of GR24 and IAA on hypocotyl elongation

257 The *max2* mutant displayed a longer hypocotyl than WT in light-grown 258 seedlings, but similar hypocotyl length to that of WT when grown in the dark [21, 24, 259 52]. Previous studies reported that IAA could inhibit hypocotyl growth at any 260 concentration [57]. We investigated the effect of GR24 and auxin on hypocotyl 261 elongation by measuring hypocotyl lengths in seedlings of WT, max2, and PvMAX2OE 262 transgenic lines. The hypocotyl length of *max2* seedlings was markedly higher than that 263 in seedlings of the WT and the three transgenic lines. The hypocotyl length of max2 was 264 insensitive to GR24, whereas the hypocotyl length was reduced slightly in GR24-treated 265 WT and transgenic lines (Fig. 7A). These results indicate that hypocotyl elongation is 266 inhibited by GR24 in the presence of normal MAX2 function under dark growth 267 conditions. However, regardless of the presence or absence of normal MAX2 function, 268 the hypocotyl lengths were reduced dramatically by IAA treatment (Fig. 7B). These 269 results indicate that *PvMAX2* can substitute for *AtMAX2* in regulating hypocotyl 270 development in the dark.

**4. Discussion** 

272 Switchgrass, a perennial energy crop in the USA, has received heightened 273 research attention due to its high energy conversion efficiency from biomass to fuel 274 alcohol [3, 58-60]. Recent studies have focused on branching/tillering to improve the 275 biomass of switchgrass [61]. Previous studies revealed that *MAX2/D3/RMS4* genes 276 regulate plant architecture, senescence, karrikin signaling, temperature responses, and 277 photomorphogenesis [17, 21, 24, 62, 63]. However, it is unclear whether such

278 regulation occurs in switchgrass. Therefore, we investigated the role of the SL signal
279 transduction factor *MAX2* in switchgrass.

280 In this study, we identified an ortholog of MAX2 (PvMAX2) in switchgrass; it 281 encodes an F-box protein that is closest to SiMAX2 in foxtail millet (Setaria italica) 282 (Fig. 1B). When PvMAX2 was expressed in the Arabidopsis max2 mutant, most 283 phenotypes of the max2 mutant were rescued. However, due to the different insertion 284 site of *PvMAX2* on the Arabidopsis genome or functional differentiation among 285 different species, the ectopic expression of the PvMAX2 cannot entirely complement the 286 AtMAX2. SLs are widely recognized as shoot branching regulators; the max2 mutant 287 produces increased branch numbers. Previous studies have shown that branching in the 288 Arabidopsis *max2* mutant was restored to the normal level after transformation with the 289 ShMAX2 gene, isolated from the parasite Striga hermonthica [28]. The branching 290 phenotype of the Arabidopsis max2 mutant is also almost fully complemented by 291 DgMAX2a [64]. Our results indicated that the expression of PvMAX2 in the Arabidopsis 292 max2 mutant partially rescued the inflorescence length and branch number mutant 293 phenotypes (Fig. 4A, 4C, and 4E). Other studies of MAX2 in different species revealed 294 that OaMAX2 and GmMAX2a, the ortholog of the Arabidopsis MAX2 gene in soybean 295 and Orobanche aegyptiaca, were able to rescue the leaf size of the Arabidopsis max2 296 mutant [32, 53]. We also observed that *PvMAX2* expression almost restored leaf 297 phenotypes in the early developmental stages (Fig. 5). Together, these results indicate 298 the complementary effects of *PvMAX2* for loss-of-function of *AtMAX2* in both the early 299 and late developmental stages. This partial rescue may be caused by the functional 300 differences between *PvMAX2* and *AtMAX2*, which may be due to functional 301 differentiation between monocot and dicot species.

302 Our results revealed that GR24 enhanced primary root length at a concentration 303 of  $10^{-6}$  M, while the *max2* mutant was insensitive to GR24 (Fig. 6A). The *max2* mutant 304 had a shorter root, possibly due to the reduction in cell number in the primary root 305 meristem [65]. In support of our results, the *OaMAX2* gene from the parasitic *O*.

306 aegyptiaca could also complement the shorter primary root length of max2 [53]. 307 Previous studies have reported that the primary root length of max2 decreased under 308 high-concentration GR24 treatments due to general toxicity, and the other phenotype of 309 max2 could not be rescued by application of GR24 [39]. MAX2 was shown to be 310 involved in photomorphogenesis. WT and *max2* seedlings have similar hypocotyl 311 lengths in the dark. However, hypocotyl elongation was inhibited by SLs in WT, 312 whereas hypocotyl elongation was unaffected by GR24 treatment in the max2 mutant 313 [21, 28, 52, 66]. We found that the *max2* seedlings exhibited markedly longer hypocotyl 314 length than the WT, and the ectopic expression of *PvMAX2* in *max2* reduced the 315 hypocotyl length (Fig. 7A). These results suggest that many factors likely affect 316 hypocotyl elongation. A recent study showed that SLs inhibited hypocotyl elongation 317 depending on cryptochrome and phytochrome signaling, and that high doses of GR24 318 inhibited hypocotyl elongation in both light and dark conditions [67]. These results 319 indicate that GR24 inhibits hypocotyl growth below a GR24 concentration threshold. 320 Moreover, MAX2 is necessary for the hypocotyl elongation responses to SLs. 321 Previous studies show that auxin transport is necessary for the branching phenotype of 322 the max2 mutants. There are multiple interactions at different levels between SLs and 323 auxin [30, 35, 38]. IAA can reduce the length of the WT primary root below a certain 324 concentration of GR24 [55]. IAA has been suggested to be involved in SLs, affecting 325 root development and decreasing primary root length by reducing cell length [54, 56]. 326 Recently, it was reported that *MdMAX2* regulated hypocotyl elongation, particularly by 327 influencing auxin transport in apple [68]. These results indicate that auxin interacts with 328 SLs to regulate root and hypocotyl development. However, the interactions between SLs 329 and auxin may be quite complex [67, 69]. Our studies showed that the primary root and 330 hypocotyl lengths decreased dramatically following IAA treatment in WT, the max2 331 mutant, and the *PvMAX2OE* transgenic lines in the *max2* background (Figs. 6B, 7B). 332 These results indicate that *PvMAX2* acts upstream of auxin in root and hypocotyl 333 development.

## 334 **5.** Conclusion

335	The findings of our study suggest that <i>PvMAX2</i> has a conserved function in
336	regulating plant shoot branching. The PvMAX2 gene we identified in switchgrass not
337	only inhibited shoot branching but also rescued the max2 mutant phenotype in roots.
338	These findings provide a theoretical foundation for the utilization of <i>PvMAX2</i> in
339	switchgrass. In addition, we revealed that AtMAX2 and PvMAX2 exhibit slight
340	differences in function and may regulate plant development through different regulatory
341	networks that may correspond to differences between monocots and dicots. The
342	function of <i>PvMAX2</i> in switchgrass requires further research.
343	Contributions
344	Tingting Cheng and Fengli Sun conceived and designed the study. Tingting Cheng,
345	Donghua Wang, Yongfeng Wang, and Shumeng Zhang performed the experiments.
346	Tingting Cheng wrote the paper. Fengli Sun, Chao Zhang, Shudong Liu, and Yajun Xi
347	reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.
348	Acknowledgments:
349	We thank Jiayang Li (Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Beijing,
350	China) for providing the max2-1 mutant seeds. This project was supported by the
351	National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 31301384, 31371690, 31601370).
352	Conflicts of Interest
353	The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
354	References
355	[1] I. Lewandowski, J.M.O. Scurlock, E. Lindvall, M. Christou, The development and
356	current status of perennial rhizomatous grasses as energy crops in the US and
357	Europe, Biomass and Bioenergy, 25 (2003) 335-361.
358	[2] S. B. McLaughlin, L. Adams Kszos, Development of switchgrass (Panicum
359	virgatum) as a bioenergy feedstock in the United States, Biomass and Bioenergy, 28

360 (2005) 515-535.

361 [3] M.R. Schmer, K.P. Vogel, R.B. Mitchell, R.K. Perrin, Net energy of cellulosic ethanol 362 from switchgrass, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United 363 States of America, 105 (2008) 464-469. 364 [4] G.K. Evanylo, A.O. Abaye, C. Dundas, C.E. Zipper, R. Lemus, B. Sukkariyah, J. 365 Rockett, Herbaceous vegetation productivity, persistence, and metals uptake on a 366 biosolids-amended mine soil, Journal of Environmental Quality, 34 (2005) 367 1811-1819. 368 [5] M.A. Sanderson, R.L. Reed, S.B. McLaughlin, S.D. Wullschleger, B.V. Conger, D.J. 369 Parrish, D.D. Wolf, C. Taliaferro, A.A. Hopkins, W.R. Ocumpaug, Switchgrass as a 370 sustainable bioenergy crop, Bioresource Technology, 56 (1996) 83-93. 371 [6] J.B. Evers, A.R. van der Krol, J. Vos1, P.C. Struik, Understanding shoot branching by 372 modelling form and function, Trends in Plant Science, 16 (2011) 464-467. 373 [7] C.A. Beveridge, J.L. Weller, S.R. Singer, J.M. Hofer, Axillary meristem development. 374 Budding relationships between networks controlling flowering, branching, and 375 photoperiod responsiveness, Plant Physiology, 131 (2003) 927-934. [8] T.H. Kebrom, W. Spielmeyer, E.J. Finnegan, Grasses provide new insights into 376 377 regulation of shoot branching, Trends in Plant Science, 18 (2013) 41-48. 378 [9] H. Klee, Plant biology - Hormones branch out, Nature, 455 (2008) 176-177. 379 [10] V. Gomez-Roldan, S. Fermas, P.B. Brewer, V. Puech-Pages, E.A. Dun, J.P. Pillot, F. 380 Letisse, R. Matusova, S. Danoun, J.C. Portais, H. Bouwmeester, G. Becard, C.A. 381 Beveridge, C. Rameau, S.F. Rochange, Strigolactone inhibition of shoot branching, 382 Nature, 455 (2008) 189-194. 383 [11] M. Umehara, A. Hanada, S. Yoshida, K. Akiyama, T. Arite, N. Takeda-Kamiya, H. 384 Magome, Y. Kamiya, K. Shirasu, K. Yoneyama, J. Kyozuka, S. Yamaguchi, 385 Inhibition of shoot branching by new terpenoid plant hormones, Nature, 455 (2008) 386 195-200. 387 [12] S. Al-Babili, H.J. Bouwmeester, Strigolactones, a novel carotenoid-derived plant 388 hormone, Annual Review of Plant Biology, 66 (2015) 161-186.

- 389 [13] C.E. Cook, L.P. Whichard, B. Turner, M.E. Wall, G.H. Egley, Germination of
- witchweed (*Striga lutea* Lour.): Isolation and properties of a potent stimulant,
  Science (New York, N.Y.), 154 (1966) 1189-1190.
- 392 [14] A. de Saint Germain, S. Bonhomme, F.D. Boyer, C. Rameau, Novel insights into
- 393 strigolactone distribution and signalling, Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 16
- 394(2013) 583-589.
- 395 [15] H. Lin, R. Wang, Q. Qian, M. Yan, X. Meng, Z. Fu, C. Yan, B. Jiang, Z. Su, J. Li, Y.
- Wang, DWARF27, an iron-containing protein required for the biosynthesis of
- 397 strigolactones, regulates rice tiller bud outgrowth, The Plant Cell, 21 (2009)
- 398 1512-1525.
- 399 [16] T. Waldie, H. McCulloch, O. Leyser, Strigolactones and the control of plant
- 400 development: lessons from shoot branching, The Plant Journal, 79 (2014) 607-622.
- 401 [17] M.T. Waters, D.C. Nelson, A. Scaffidi, G.R. Flematti, Y.K. Sun, K.W. Dixon, S.M.
- 402 Smith, Specialisation within the DWARF14 protein family confers distinct
- 403 responses to karrikins and strigolactones in Arabidopsis, Development, 139 (2012)
  404 1285-1295.
- 405 [18] K. Minakuchi, H. Kameoka, N. Yasuno, M. Umehara, L. Luo, K. Kobayashi, A.
- 406 Hanada, K. Ueno, T. Asami, S. Yamaguchi, J. Kyozuka, FINE CULM1 (FC1) works
- 407 downstream of strigolactones to inhibit the outgrowth of axillary buds in rice, Plant
- 408 & Cell Physiology, 51 (2010) 1127-1135.
- 409 [19] F. Zhou, Q. Lin, L. Zhu, Y. Ren, K. Zhou, N. Shabek, F. Wu, H. Mao, W. Dong, L.
- 410 Gan, W. Ma, H. Gao, J. Chen, C. Yang, D. Wang, J. Tan, X. Zhang, X. Guo, J. Wang,
- 411 L. Jiang, X. Liu, W. Chen, J. Chu, C. Yan, K. Ueno, S. Ito, T. Asami, Z. Cheng, J.
- 412 Wang, C. Lei, H. Zhai, C. Wu, H. Wang, N. Zheng, J. Wan,
- 413 D14-SCF(D3)-dependent degradation of D53 regulates strigolactone signalling,
- 414 Nature, 504 (2013) 406-410.

- 415 [20] J.A. Aguilar-Martinez, C. Poza-Carrion, P. Cubas, Arabidopsis BRANCHED1 acts
- 416 as an integrator of branching signals within axillary buds, The Plant Cell, 19 (2007)
  417 458-472.
- 418 [21] H. Shen, L. Zhu, Q.-Y. Bu, E. Huq, MAX2 affects multiple hormones to promote
- 419 photomorphogenesis, Molecular Plant, 5 (2012) 750-762.
- 420 [22] M. Marzec, Perception and signaling of strigolactones, Frontiers in Plant Science, 7
  421 (2016) 1260.
- 422 [23] N. Morffy, L. Faure, D.C. Nelson, Smoke and hormone mirrors: action and
- 423 evolution of karrikin and strigolactone signaling, Trends in Genetics, 32 (2016).
- 424 [24] P. Stirnberg, K. van De Sande, H.M. Leyser, MAX1 and MAX2 control shoot lateral
- 425 branching in Arabidopsis, Development, 129 (2002) 1131-1141.
- 426 [25] P. Stirnberg, I.J. Furner, H.M. Ottoline Leyser, MAX2 participates in an SCF
- 427 complex which acts locally at the node to suppress shoot branching, The Plant428 Journal, 50 (2007) 80-94.
- 429 [26] X. Johnson, T. Brcich, E.A. Dun, M. Goussot, K. Haurogne, C.A. Beveridge, C.
- 430 Rameau, Branching genes are conserved across species. Genes controlling a novel
- 431 signal in pea are coregulated by other long-distance signals, Plant Physiology, 142
- 432 (2006) 1014-1026.
- 433 [27] H. Shen, P. Luong, E. Huq, The F-box protein MAX2 functions as a positive
- regulator of photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis, Plant Physiology, 145 (2007)
- 435 1471-1483.
- 436 [28] Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, R. Matusova, T. Charnikhova, M. Amini, M. Jamil, M.
- 437 Fernandez-Aparicio, K. Huang, M.P. Timko, J.H. Westwood, C. Ruyter-Spira, S. van
- 438 der Krol, H.J. Bouwmeester, Striga hermonthica MAX2 restores branching but not
- the Very Low Fluence Response in the Arabidopsis thaliana max2 mutant, The New
- 440 Phytologist, 202 (2014) 531-541.

- 441 [29] Q. Bu, T. Lv, H. Shen, P. Luong, J. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Huang, L. Xiao, C. Engineer,
- 442 T.H. Kim, J.I. Schroeder, E. Huq, Regulation of drought tolerance by the F-box
- 443 protein MAX2 in Arabidopsis, Plant Physiology, 164 (2014) 424-439.
- 444 [30] P. Stirnberg, S. Ward, O. Leyser, Auxin and strigolactones in shoot branching:
- 445 intimately connected?, Biochemical Society Transactions, 38 (2010) 717-722.
- 446 [31] M. Tanaka, K. Takei, M. Kojima, H. Sakakibara, H. Mori, Auxin controls local
- 447 cytokinin biosynthesis in the nodal stem in apical dominance, The Plant Journal, 45448 (2006) 1028-1036.
- 449 [32] B.U. Haq, M.Z. Ahmad, N. Ur Rehman, J. Wang, P. Li, D. Li, J. Zhao, Functional
- 450 characterization of soybean strigolactone biosynthesis and signaling genes in
- 451 Arabidopsis MAX mutants and GmMAX3 in soybean nodulation, BMC Plant
- 452 Biology, 17 (2017) 259.
- 453 [33] S. Ishikawa, M. Maekawa, T. Arite, K. Onishi, I. Takamure, J. Kyozuka,
- 454 Suppression of tiller bud activity in tillering dwarf mutants of rice, Plant & Cell
  455 Physiology, 46 (2005) 79-86.
- 456 [34] Y. Tsuchiya, P. McCourt, Strigolactones: a new hormone with a past, Current
- 457 Opinion in Plant Biology, 12 (2009) 556-561.
- 458 [35] S. Saini, I. Sharma, N. Kaur, P.K. Pati, Auxin: a master regulator in plant root
- 459 development, Plant Cell Reports, 32 (2013) 741-757.
- 460 [36] D. Muller, O. Leyser, Auxin, cytokinin and the control of shoot branching, Annals of
  461 Botany, 107 (2011) 1203-1212.
- 462 [37] D. Sang, D. Chen, G. Liu, Y. Liang, L. Huang, J.C. X Meng, X. Sun, G. Dong, Y.
- 463 Yuan, Q. Qian, J. Li, Y. Wang, Strigolactones regulate rice tiller angle by attenuating
- 464 shoot gravitropism through inhibiting auxin biosynthesis, Proceedings of the
- 465 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 111 (2014)
- 466 11199–11204.

467	[38] T. Bennett, T. Sieberer, B. Willett, J. Booker, C. Luschnig, O. Leyser, The
468	Arabidopsis MAX pathway controls shoot branching by regulating auxin transport,
469	Current Biology, 16 (2006) 553-563.
470	[39] C. Ruyter-Spira, W. Kohlen, T. Charnikhova, A. van Zeijl, L. van Bezouwen, N. de
471	Ruijter, C. Cardoso, J.A. Lopez-Raez, R. Matusova, R. Bours, F. Verstappen, H.
472	Bouwmeester, Physiological effects of the synthetic strigolactone analog GR24 on
473	root system architecture in Arabidopsis: another belowground role for
474	strigolactones?, Plant Physiol, 155 (2011) 721-734.
475	[40] K. J. Moore, L.E. Mosser, K. P. Vogel, S. S. Waller, B. E. Johnson, J.F. Pederson.
476	Describing and quantifying growth stages of perennial forage grasses, Agronomy
477	Journal, 83 (1991) 1073-1077.
478	[41] Z. Wang, C. Chen, Y. Xu, R. Jiang, Y. Han, Z. Xu, K. Chong, A practical vector for
479	efficient knockdown of gene expression in rice (Oryza sativa L.), Plant Molecular
480	Biology Reporter, 22 (2004) 409-417.
481	[42] K. Tamura, D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei, S. Kumar, MEGA5:
482	molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary
483	distance, and maximum parsimony methods, Molecular Biology and Evolution, 28
484	(2011) 2731-2739.
485	[43] N. Saitou, M. Nei, The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing
486	phylogenetic trees, Molecular Biology and Evolution, 4 (1987) 406-425.
487	[44] S.J. Clough, A.F. Bent, Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated
488	transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana, The Plant Journal 16 (1998) 735–743.
489	[45] K.J. Livak, T.D. Schmittgen, Analysis of relative gene expression data using
490	real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method, Methods, 25 (2001) 402-408.
491	[46] L.J. Bromhead, J. Visser, C.S. McErlean, Enantioselective synthesis of the
492	strigolactone mimic (+)-GR24, The Journal of Organic Chemistry, 79 (2014)
493	1516-1520.

494	[47] Y. Kapulnik, P.M. Delaux, N. Resnick, E. Mayzlish-Gati, S. Wininger, C.
495	Bhattacharya, N. Sejalon-Delmas, J.P. Combier, G. Becard, E. Belausov, T.
496	Beeckman, E. Dor, J. Hershenhorn, H. Koltai, Strigolactones affect lateral root
497	formation and root-hair elongation in Arabidopsis, Planta, 233 (2011) 209-216.
498	[48] S. Maríaj, F. Mónica, C.M. Vilma, G. Josém, O. Juana, D. Maríaj, V. Horst, First
499	indications for the involvement of strigolactones on nodule formation in alfalfa
500	(Medicago sativa), Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 42 (2010) 383-385.
501	[49] T.H. Kebrom, T.P. Brutnell, S.A. Finlayson, Suppression of sorghum axillary bud
502	outgrowth by shade, phyB and defoliation signalling pathways, Plant, Cell &
503	Environment, 33 (2010) 48-58.
504	[50] R.S. Drummond, H. Sheehan, J.L. Simons, N.M. Martinez-Sanchez, R.M. Turner, J.
505	Putterill, K.C. Snowden, The expression of petunia strigolactone pathway genes is
506	altered as part of the endogenous developmental program, Frontiers in Plant
507	Science, 2 (2011) 115.
508	[51] S. Li, L. Chen, Y. Li, R. Yao, F. Wang, M. Yang, M. Gu, F. Nan, D. Xie, J. Yan,
509	Effect of GR24 stereoisomers on plant development in Arabidopsis, Molecular
510	Plant, 9 (2016) 1432-1435.
511	[52] Y. Tsuchiya, D. Vidaurre, S. Toh, A. Hanada, E. Nambara, Y. Kamiya, S. Yamaguchi,
512	P. McCourt, A small-molecule screen identifies new functions for the plant hormone
513	strigolactone, Nature Chemical Biology, 6 (2010) 741-749.
514	[53] W. Li, K.H. Nguyen, Y. Watanabe, S. Yamaguchi, L.S. Tran, OaMAX2 of
515	Orobanche aegyptiaca and Arabidopsis AtMAX2 share conserved functions in both
516	development and drought responses, Biochemical and Biophysical Research
517	Communications, 478 (2016) 521-526.
518	[54] H. Koltai, E. Dor, J. Hershenhorn, D.M. Joel, S. Weininger, S. Lekalla, H. Shealtiel,
519	C. Bhattacharya, E. Eliahu, N. Resnick, R. Barg, Y. Kapulnik, Strigolactones' effect
520	on root growth and root-hair elongation may be mediated by auxin-efflux carriers,
521	Journal of Plant Growth Regulation, 29 (2010) 129-136.

522	[55] L. Hobbie, M. Estelle, The axr4 auxin-resistant mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana
523	define a gene important for root gravitropism and lateral root initiation, The Plant
524	Journal 7(1995) 211-220.
525	[56] X. Cheng, C. Ruyter-Spira, H. Bouwmeester, The interaction between strigolactones
526	and other plant hormones in the regulation of plant development, Frontiers in Plant
527	Science, 4 (2013) 199-199.
528	[57] C.E. Collett, N.P. Harberd, O. Leyser, Hormonal interactions in the control of
529	Arabidopsis hypocotyl elongation, Plant Physiology, 124 (2000) 553-561.
530	[58] M.A. Sanderson, P.R. Adler, A.A. Boateng, M.D. Casler, A.G. Sarath, Switchgrass as
531	a biofuels feedstock in the USA, Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 86 (2006)
532	1315-1325.
533	[59] D.J. Parrish, M.D. Casler, A. Monti, The evolution of switchgrass as an energy crop,
534	Green Energy and Technology, (2012) 1-28.
535	[60] C.O. Hong, V.N. Owens, D. Bransby, R. Farris, J. Fike, E. Heaton, S. Kim, H.
536	Mayton, R. Mitchell, D. Viands, Switchgrass response to nitrogen fertilizer across
537	diverse environments in the USA: a regional feedstock partnership report, Bioenergy
538	Research, 7 (2014) 777-788.
539	[61] Y. Wang, X. Zeng, L. Peal, Y. Tang, Y. Wu, R. Mahalingam, Transcriptome analysis
540	of nodes and buds from high and low tillering switchgrass inbred lines, PLOS ONE,
541	8 (2013).
542	[62] H.R. Woo, K.M. Chung, JH. Park, S.A. Oh, T. Ahn, S.H. Hong, S.K. Jang, H.G.
543	Nam, ORE9, an F-box protein that regulates leaf senescence in Arabidopsis, The
544	Plant Cell, 13 (2001) 1779–1790.
545	[63] D.C. Nelson, J.A. Riseborough, G.R. Flematti, J. Stevens, E.L. Ghisalberti, K.W.
546	Dixon, S.M. Smith, Karrikins discovered in smoke trigger Arabidopsis seed
547	germination by a mechanism requiring gibberellic acid synthesis and light, Plant
548	Physiology, 149 (2009) 863-873.

- 549 [64] L. Dong, A. Ishak, J. Yu, R. Zhao, L. Zhao, Identification and functional analysis of
- 550 three MAX2 orthologs in chrysanthemum, Journal of Integrated Plant Biology, 55

551 (2013) 434-442.

- [65] H. Koltai, Strigolactones are regulators of root development, New Phytologist, 190
  (2011) 545-549.
- 554 [66] D.C. Nelson, A. Scaffidi, E.A. Dun, M.T. Waters, G.R. Flematti, K.W. Dixon, C.A.
- 555 Beveridge, E.L. Ghisalberti, S.M. Smith, F-box protein MAX2 has dual roles in
- 556 karrikin and strigolactone signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana, Proceedings of the
- 557 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108 (2011)
- 558 **8897-8902**.
- 559 [67] K.P. Jia, Q. Luo, S.B. He, X.D. Lu, H.Q. Yang, Strigolactone-regulated hypocotyl
- elongation is dependent on cryptochrome and phytochrome signaling pathways inArabidopsis, Molecular Plant, 7 (2014) 528-540.
- 562 [68] J.P. An, R. Li, F.J. Qu, C.X. You, X.F. Wang, Y.J. Hao, Apple F-box protein
- MdMAX2 regulates plant photomorphogenesis and stress response, Frontiers of
  Plant Science, 7 (2016) 1685.
- 565 [69] H. Sun, J. Tao, M. Hou, S. Huang, S. Chen, Z. Liang, T. Xie, Y. Wei, X. Xie, K.
- 566 Yoneyama, G. Xu, Y. Zhang, A strigolactone signal is required for adventitious root
- 567 formation in rice, Annals of Botany, 115 (2015) 1155-1162.

568

#### 569 Figure legends

- 570 Fig. 1 Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses of MAX2 proteins.
- 571 A. Alignment of the PvMAX2 protein sequence with the Arabidopsis MAX2, pea
- 572 RMS4, and rice D3 protein sequences. Amino acids highlighted in orange, pink, and
- 573 blue represent residues that are completely conserved, partially conserved, and similar
- 574 to the consensus sequence, respectively. The alignment was generated using the
- 575 MegAlign and ClustalW programs (http://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw).

- 576 B. Phylogenetic tree analysis of MAX2 homologs from various species. A
- 577 neighbor-joining tree (Jones-Taylor-Thornton model) was generated using MEGA7 with
- 578 1000 replicates to generate bootstrap values. Species included were: Arabidopsis
- 579 (Arabidopsis thaliana), AtMAX2 (NP\_565979.1); Sorghum bicolor, SbMAX2
- 580 (XP\_002436499.1); foxtail millet (Setaria italica), SiMAX2 (XP\_004964817.1); rice
- 581 (Oryza sativa), OsD3 (NP\_001174608.1); and pea (Pisum sativum), RMS4
- 582 (ABD67495.1).
- 583

584 Fig. 2 MAX2 expression in various switchgrass tissues and PvMAX2 gene expression 585 pattern. A, MAX2 expression patterns in various switchgrass tissues. The expression 586 level of homologous genes Pavir.4KG045300/Pavir.4KG041800 (orange color) and 587 Pavir.4NG045300 (blue color) (retrieved from https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/ 588 portal.html#!search?show=BLAST). The expression profile was generated using 589 HemI1.0.3.3 software. B, *PvMAX2* expression pattern determined by qRT-PCR. R, root; 590 S, shoot base; L, leaf; SH, leaf sheath; SN, shoot node; P1, panicle (early); P2, panicle 591 (late); reference gene: PvActin. Leaf, leaf sheath, stem node, and P1 ear were collected 592 at the early heading stage, and the P2 ear was collected in the later heading stage. Roots 593 were collected from developing seedlings grown hydroponically. Error bars indicate the 594 standard deviation (SD).

595

596 Fig. 3 *PvMAX2* expression in switchgrass in response to GR24 treatment.

597 *PvMAX2* gene response to GR24. The tillers of 19-day-old plants grown in the absence

and presence of GR24 treatment for 24 h in hydroponics were collected and used for

599 RNA extraction. A 0.001% concentration of acetone without any GR24 was used as a

- 600 control. The reference gene was *PvEF-1-alpha*. Error bars indicate the standard
- 601 deviation (SD).
- 602

603 Fig. 4 *PvMAX2* expression levels and Arabidopsis *max2* branching phenotype with 604 ectopic *PvMAX2* expression. A. Comparison of phenotypes of Col-0 wild type (WT), 605 max2-1, and transgenic lines after 35 days of growth (left to right: WT, max2, L2, L3, 606 L4). Bar = 5 cm. B. Quantitative real time (qRT)-PCR analysis of *PvMAX2* expression 607 in transgenic lines (L2–L4). The reference gene was AtActin7. C. Primary inflorescence 608 length in WT, max2, and three transgenic lines (L2–L4). Plants were analyzed near 609 maturity, the primary inflorescence had ceased growing (after 50 days of growth). D. 610 Number of rosette leaves in WT,  $max^2$ , and three transgenic lines (L2–L4). Rosette 611 leaves data were collected near the bolting stage (after 22 days of growth). E. Number 612 of branches in WT, max2, and three transgenic lines (L2–L4). Branches with a length of 613 at least 2 cm were counted. Values are the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM; n = 614 10) from three independent experiments. Letters denote statistically significant differences between means at P < 0.05, according to one-way analysis of variance 615 616 (ANOVA), followed by Duncan's multiple range test. 617

Fig. 5 Arabidopsis *max2* leaf phenotype with ectopic *PvMAX2* expression. A. Plants were photographed at the 2-week-old seedling stage. B. Leaf areas of the largest rosette leaves from WT, *max2*, and three transgenic lines (L2–L4). Values are the mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 15). Letters denote statistically significant differences between means at P < 0.05 (ANOVA). Bar = 0.2 cm.

623

Fig. 6 Phenotypes of WT, *max2*, and three transgenic lines (L2–L4) under various GR24 and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) conditions. A. Primary root length of WT, *max2*, and three transgenic lines (L2–L4) treated with GR24. B. Primary root length of WT, *max2*, and three transgenic lines (L2–L4) treated with IAA. Data were collected after 7 days of growth in an incubator at 25°C with a 14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod. Values are the mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 21). Letters denote statistically significant differences between means at P < 0.05 (ANOVA).

632	Fig. 7 Complementation of Arabidopsis max2 hypocotyl phenotypes. A. Assays of
633	hypocotyl length sensitivity to GR24 in 5-day-old seedlings of WT, max2, and three
634	transgenic lines (L2-L4) grown in the dark. B. Assays of hypocotyl length sensitivity to
635	IAA in 5-day-old seedlings of WT, max2, and three transgenic lines (L2-L4) grown in
636	the dark. Values are the mean $\pm$ SEM (n > 21). Letters denote statistically significant
637	differences between means at $P < 0.05$ (ANOVA).
638	
639	Fig. S1 Alignment of the nucleotide sequences of Pavir.4KG045300, Pavir.4NG045300,
640	and <i>PvMAX2</i> .
641	The red box in the photo shows the gap between the unlocalized part and
642	Pavir.4NG045300.
643	
644	Fig. S2 Analysis of the amino acid sequence of <i>PvMAX2</i> from switchgrass. The F-box
645	domain is underlined in red.
646	
647	Fig. S3 A. Construction of the <i>PvMAX2</i> overexpression vector. The lengths of the
648	PvMAX2 gene (2,112 bp) and T-easy vector (white). The PvMAX2-pTCK303 fusion
649	plasmid (left, white), Marker: 2,000-bp DNA molecular markers.
650	B. Transgenic Arabidopsis plant identification. PCR analysis of DNA samples from
651	regenerated plants using primer specific for the hygromycin resistance gene. 1, negative
652	control; 2, positive control ( <i>PvMAX2–pTCK303</i> plasmid); 3–15, transgenic lines.
653	Marker: 2,000-bp DNA molecular markers.



0.05

в





0.1 1 GR24 concentration (µM) A A A









1. The gene *PvMAX2*, ortholog of *AtMAX2*, was identified in switchgrass.

2. *PvMAX2* was expressed at high level in shoot node, shoot base and root of switchgrass.

3. The overexpression of PvMAX2 rescued the phenotype of Arabidopsis max2 mutant.